

PROGRESS ON CHIRAL SYMMETRY BREAKING IN A STRONG MAGNETIC FIELD

SHANG-YUNG WANG

*Department of Physics, Tamkang University,
Tamsui, Taipei 25137, Taiwan
E-mail: sywang@taos.phys.tku.edu.tw*

The problem of chiral symmetry breaking in QED in a strong magnetic field is briefly reviewed. Recent progress on issues regarding the gauge fixing independence of the dynamically generated fermion mass is discussed.

Gauge theories play an important role in our understanding of a wide variety of phenomena in many areas of physics, ranging from the descriptions of fundamental interactions in elementary particle physics to the study of high temperature superconductivity in condensed matter physics. While the usual perturbative approach based on the loop expansion is sufficient in most circumstances, there are many interesting phenomena that can be understood only through a nonperturbative analysis. Examples include strong coupling gauge theories (such as QCD and hadron physics) as well as gauge fields under the influence of extreme conditions (such as high temperature and/or high density, strongly out-of-equilibrium instabilities, and strong external fields).

Several methods have been developed to study nonperturbative phenomena in gauge theories. Lattice approach based on discretized descriptions is well suited for studying strong coupling gauge theories, especially the properties in vacuum or at finite temperature. New approach based on the Anti-de Sitter/conformal field theory (AdS/CFT) correspondence offers the possibility to study strong coupling gauge theories by performing perturbative calculations in their gravity duals. Yet, field theoretical continuum approaches such as the Schwinger–Dyson (SD) equations and the effective action provide a natural framework for studies of nonperturbative phenomena in gauge theories. In particular, continuum descriptions are capable of describing dynamical real-time quantities in gauge fields under

the influence of extreme conditions. A consistent formulation with gauge fields is important to the development of approximation techniques that will complement investigations utilizing lattice gauge theory and the AdS/CFT correspondence.

In this contribution we focus on the SD equations approach and discuss the important issues regarding the consistency and gauge independence of the truncation schemes therein. The SD equations are an infinite set of coupled integral equations among the Green's functions in a field theory, and form equations of motion of the corresponding theory. Nevertheless, practical calculations necessitate the use of approximated, or truncated, versions of the exact SD equations. A truncation of the SD equations corresponds diagrammatically to a selected resummation of an infinite subset of diagrams arising from every order in the loop expansion. Hence, in gauge theories the gauge independence of physical observables cannot be guaranteed unless consistent schemes are employed in truncating the SD equations.

We take as an example the simplest bare vertex approximation (BVA) to the SD equations in the simplest gauge theory, i.e., QED with massless Dirac fermions, in the presence of a background gauge field. Specifically, we consider the problem of chiral symmetry breaking in QED in a strong, external magnetic field. This problem was originally motivated by a proposal¹ to explain the correlated e^+e^- peaks observed in heavy ion collision experiments, and has subsequently received a lot of attention over the past decade largely because of its applications in astrophysics, condensed matter physics and cosmology.

Since the dynamics of fermion pairing in a strong magnetic field is dominated by the lowest Landau level (LLL),² it is a common practice to consider the propagation of, as well as radiative corrections originating only from, fermions occupying the LLL. This is referred to in the literature as the lowest Landau level approximation (LLLA).²⁻⁴ In Ref. 3 chiral symmetry breaking in QED in a strong magnetic field was first studied in the so-called (quenched) rainbow approximation, in which the bare vertex and the free photon propagator were used in truncating the SD equations. These studies provided a preliminary affirmation of the phenomenon of chiral symmetry breaking in QED in a strong magnetic field.

More recently, this phenomenon has been studied in the so-called improved rainbow approximation,^{4,5} in which the bare vertex and the full photon propagator were used. The authors of Ref. 4 claimed that (i) in covariant gauges there are one-loop vertex corrections arising from the longitudinal components of the full photon propagator that are not suppressed

by powers of the gauge coupling constant and hence need to be accounted for; (ii) there exists a noncovariant and nonlocal gauge in which, and only in which, the BVA is a reliable truncation of the SD equations that consistently resums these one-loop vertex corrections; (iii) the phenomenon of chiral symmetry breaking is universal in that it takes place for any number of the fermion flavors. In Ref. 5 the authors included contributions to the vacuum polarization from higher Landau levels in an unspecified gauge, and asserted that (i) in QED with N_f fermion flavors a critical number N_{cr} exists for any value of the gauge coupling constant, such that chiral symmetry remains unbroken for $N_f > N_{cr}$; (ii) the dynamical fermion mass is generated with a double splitting for $N_f < N_{cr}$. The results of Refs. 4,5 are clearly in contradiction, leading to a controversy⁶ over the correct calculation of the dynamical fermion mass generated through chiral symmetry breaking in a strong magnetic field.

The controversy was later resolved in Ref. 7 by establishing the gauge independence of the dynamical fermion mass calculated in the SD equations approach. In particular, it was shown that (i) the BVA is a consistent truncation of the SD equations in the LLLA; (ii) within this consistent truncation scheme the physical dynamical fermion mass, obtained as the solution of the truncated fermion SD equations evaluated on the mass shell, is manifestly gauge independent.

We take the constant external magnetic field of strength H in the x_3 -direction. The corresponding vector potential is given by $A_\mu = (0, 0, Hx_1, 0)$, where $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$. In our convention, the metric has the signature $g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$. In the LLLA, the SD equations for the full fermion propagator $G(x, y)$ are given by

$$G^{-1}(x, y) = S^{-1}(x, y) + \Sigma(x, y), \quad (1)$$

$$\Sigma(x, y) = ie^2 \int d^4x' d^4y' \gamma^\mu G(x, x') \Gamma^\nu(x', y, y') \mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}(x, y'), \quad (2)$$

where $S(x, y)$ is the bare propagator for the LLL fermion in the external field A_μ , $\Sigma(x, y)$ is the LLL fermion self-energy, and $\Gamma^\nu(x, y, z)$ is the full LLL fermion-photon vertex. The full photon propagator $\mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}(x, y)$ satisfies the SD equations

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}^{-1}(x, y) = D_{\mu\nu}^{-1}(x, y) + \Pi_{\mu\nu}(x, y), \quad (3)$$

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}(x, y) = -ie^2 \text{tr} \int d^4x' d^4y' \gamma_\mu G(x, x') \Gamma_\nu(x', y', y) G(y', x), \quad (4)$$

where $D_{\mu\nu}(x, y)$ is the free photon propagator and $\Pi_{\mu\nu}(x, y)$ is the vacuum polarization. In the BVA to the SD equation one replaces the full vertex by

the bare one, viz, $\Gamma^\mu(x, y, z) = \gamma^\mu \delta^{(4)}(x - z) \delta^{(4)}(y - z)$.

A consistent truncation of the SD equations is that which respects the Ward–Takahashi (WT) identity satisfied by the truncated vertex and inverse fermion propagator. The WT identity in the BVA within the LLLA was first studied in Ref. 8. It was shown that in order to satisfy the WT identity in the BVA within the LLLA, the LLL fermion self-energy in momentum space has to be a momentum independent constant. As per the WT identity in the BVA, the LLL fermion self-energy takes the form $\Sigma(p_\parallel) = m_\xi$, where $p_\parallel$ is the momentum of the LLL fermion and m_ξ is a momentum independent *but gauge dependent* constant, with ξ being the gauge fixing parameter. Here and henceforth, the subscript $\parallel$ ($\perp$) refers to the longitudinal: $\mu = 0, 3$ (transverse: $\mu = 1, 2$) components. It is noted that m_ξ depends implicitly on ξ through the full photon propagator $\mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}$ in (2). We emphasize that because of its ξ -dependence, m_ξ should *not* be taken for granted to be the dynamical fermion mass, which is a gauge independent physical observable. This is one of the subtle points that has been overlooked in the literature.

We now show that the BVA within the LLLA is a consistent truncation of the SD equations (1)–(4), in which m_ξ is ξ -independent and hence can be identified unambiguously as the physical dynamical fermion mass if, and only if, the truncated SD equation for the fermion self-energy is evaluated on the fermion mass shell. First recall that, as proved in Ref. 9, in gauge theories the singularity structures (i.e., the positions of poles and branch singularities) of gauge boson and fermion propagators are gauge independent when all contributions of a given order of a systematic expansion scheme are accounted for. Consequently, the physical dynamical fermion mass has to be determined by the pole of the full fermion propagator obtained in a consistent truncation scheme of the SD equations. Assume for the moment that the BVA is a consistent truncation in the LLLA, such that the position of the pole of the LLL fermion propagator is gauge independent. In accordance with the WT identity in the BVA, we have

$$\Sigma(p_\parallel) = m, \quad (5)$$

where the constant m is the gauge independent, physical dynamical fermion mass, yet to be determined by solving the truncated SD equations self-consistently. What remains to be verified is the following statements: (i) the truncated vacuum polarization is transverse; (ii) the truncated fermion self-energy is gauge independent when evaluated on the mass shell, $p_\parallel^2 = -m^2$. We highlight that the fermion mass shell condition is one of the impor-

tant points that has gone unnoticed in the literature, where the truncated fermion self-energy used to be evaluated off the mass shell at $p_{\parallel}^2 = 0$.³⁻⁵

The vacuum polarization $\Pi_{\mu\nu}(q)$ in the BVA is found to be given by

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu}(q) = -\frac{ie^2}{2\pi} N_f |eH| \exp\left(-\frac{q_{\perp}^2}{2|eH|}\right) \text{tr} \int \frac{d^2 p_{\parallel}}{(2\pi)^2} \gamma_{\parallel}^{\mu} \frac{1}{\gamma_{\parallel} \cdot p_{\parallel} + m} \gamma_{\parallel}^{\nu} \times \frac{1}{\gamma_{\parallel} \cdot (p - q)_{\parallel} + m} \Delta[\text{sgn}(eH)], \quad (6)$$

where $\Delta[\text{sgn}(eH)] = [1 + i\gamma^1 \gamma^2 \text{sgn}(eH)]/2$ is the projection operator on the fermion states with the spin parallel to the external magnetic field. The presence of $\Delta[\text{sgn}(eH)]$ in (6) is a consequence of the LLLA, which implies an effective dimensional reduction from $(3 + 1)$ to $(1 + 1)$ in the fermion sector.³

The WT identity in the BVA guarantees that the vacuum polarization $\Pi_{\mu\nu}(q)$ is transverse, viz, $q^{\mu} \Pi_{\mu\nu}(q) = 0$. An explicit calculation yields $\Pi^{\mu\nu}(q) = \Pi(q_{\parallel}^2, q_{\perp}^2)(g_{\parallel}^{\mu\nu} - q_{\parallel}^{\mu} q_{\parallel}^{\nu}/q_{\parallel}^2)$, which in turn implies that the full photon propagator takes the following form in covariant gauges ($\xi = 1$ is the Feynman gauge):

$$\mathcal{D}^{\mu\nu}(q) = \frac{1}{q^2 + \Pi(q_{\parallel}^2, q_{\perp}^2)} \left(g_{\parallel}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{q_{\parallel}^{\mu} q_{\parallel}^{\nu}}{q_{\parallel}^2} \right) + \frac{g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu}}{q^2} + \frac{q_{\parallel}^{\mu} q_{\parallel}^{\nu}}{q^2 q_{\parallel}^2} + (\xi - 1) \frac{1}{q^2} \frac{q^{\mu} q^{\nu}}{q^2}. \quad (7)$$

In the above expressions, the polarization function $\Pi(q_{\parallel}^2, q_{\perp}^2)$ is given by

$$\Pi(q_{\parallel}^2, q_{\perp}^2) = \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} N_f |eH| \exp\left(-\frac{q_{\perp}^2}{2|eH|}\right) F\left(\frac{q_{\parallel}^2}{4m^2}\right), \quad (8)$$

where $\alpha = e^2/4\pi$. The function $F(u)$ has the following asymptotic behavior: $F(u) \simeq 0$ for $|u| \ll 1$ and $F(u) \simeq 1$ for $|u| \gg 1$. This implies that photons of momenta $m^2 \ll |q_{\parallel}^2| \ll |eH|$ and $q_{\perp}^2 \ll |eH|$ are screened with a characteristic length $L = (2\alpha N_f |eH|/\pi)^{-1/2}$. This screening effect renders the rainbow approximation³ completely unreliable in this problem.

The fermion self-energy in the BVA, when evaluated on the fermion mass shell, $p_{\parallel}^2 = -m^2$, is given by

$$m \Delta[\text{sgn}(eH)] = ie^2 \int \frac{d^4 q}{(2\pi)^4} \exp\left(-\frac{q_{\perp}^2}{2|eH|}\right) \gamma_{\parallel}^{\mu} \frac{1}{\gamma_{\parallel} \cdot (p - q)_{\parallel} + m} \gamma_{\parallel}^{\nu} \times \mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}(q) \Delta[\text{sgn}(eH)] \Big|_{p_{\parallel}^2 = -m^2}, \quad (9)$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}(q)$ is given by (7). The WT identity in the BVA guarantees that this *would-be* gauge dependent contribution to the fermion self-energy

(denoted symbolically as Σ_ξ) is proportional to $(\gamma_\parallel \cdot p_\parallel + m)$. We find through an explicit calculation that

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_\xi = & \alpha (\xi - 1) (\gamma_\parallel \cdot p_\parallel + m) \int_0^1 dx (1 - x) \int \frac{d^2 q_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} \exp\left(-\frac{q_\perp^2}{2|eH|}\right) \\ & \times \frac{(1 - x)q_\perp^2 - xm(\gamma_\parallel \cdot p_\parallel - m)}{[(1 - x)q_\perp^2 + x(1 - x)p_\parallel^2 + xm^2]^2} \Delta[\text{sgn}(eH)]. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Hence, Σ_ξ vanishes identically on the fermion mass shell $p_\parallel^2 = -m^2$ or, equivalently, $\gamma_\parallel \cdot p_\parallel + m = 0$. This, together with the transversality of the vacuum polarization, completes our proof that the BVA is a consistent truncation of the SD equations. Consequently, the dynamical fermion mass, obtained as the solution of the truncated fermion SD equations evaluated on the fermion mass shell, is gauge independent.

It can be verified in a similar manner that contributions to the fermion self-energy from the longitudinal components in $\mathcal{D}^{\mu\nu}(q)$ that are proportional to $q_\parallel^\mu q_\parallel^\nu / q_\parallel^2$ also vanish when evaluated on the fermion mass shell. Therefore, only the first term in $\mathcal{D}^{\mu\nu}(q)$ proportional to $g_\parallel^{\mu\nu}$ contributes to the on-shell fermion self-energy. As a result, the matrix structures on both sides of (9) are consistent. Using the mass shell condition $p_\parallel^\mu = (m, 0)$, corresponding to a LLL fermion at rest, we find (9) in Euclidean space to be given by

$$m = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi^2} \int d^2 q_\parallel \frac{m}{q_3^2 + (q_4 - m)^2 + m^2} \int_0^\infty dq_\perp^2 \frac{\exp(-q_\perp^2/2|eH|)}{q_\parallel^2 + q_\perp^2 + \Pi(q_\parallel^2, q_\perp^2)}, \quad (11)$$

where $q_\parallel^2 = q_3^2 + q_4^2$. Numerical analysis shows that the solution of (11) can be fit by the following analytic expression:

$$m = a \sqrt{2|eH|} \beta(\alpha) \exp\left[-\frac{\pi}{\alpha \log(b/N_f \alpha)}\right], \quad (12)$$

where a is a constant of order one, $b \simeq 2.3$, and $\beta(\alpha) \simeq N_f \alpha$. From (12) it follows that in a strong magnetic field chiral symmetry is broken regardless of the number of the fermion flavors.

The results of Refs. 4,5 can be attributed to gauge dependent artifacts. Had the authors of Ref. 4 calculated properly the on-shell, physical dynamical fermion mass, they would not have found the “large vertex corrections” they obtained, and therefore their claim that the BVA is a good approximation only in the special noncovariant and nonlocal gauge they invoke is not valid. In fact, that special gauge was invoked by hand such that the gauge dependent contribution cancels contributions from terms proportional to

$q_{\parallel}^{\mu} q_{\parallel}^{\nu} / q_{\parallel}^2$ in $\mathcal{D}^{\mu\nu}(q)$. Our gauge independent analysis in the BVA reveals clearly that such a gauge fixing not only is ad hoc and unnecessary, but also leaves the issue of gauge independence unaddressed.

The truncation used in Ref. 5 is *not* a consistent truncation of the SD equations because the WT identity in the BVA can be satisfied only within the LLLA.⁷ Their result suggests that in the inconsistent truncation as well as in the unspecified gauge, the gauge dependent unphysical contributions from higher Landau levels become dominant over the gauge independent physical contribution from the LLL, thus leading to the authors' incorrect conclusions. Therefore we emphasize that the LLL dominance in a strong magnetic field should be understood in the context of a consistent truncation. Namely, contributions to the dynamical fermion mass from higher Landau levels that are obtained in a (yet to be determined) consistent truncation of the SD equations are subleading when compared to that from the LLL obtained in the consistent BVA truncation. Research along this line will be the subject of further investigations.

I would like to thank the organizers of the conference for their invitation and hospitality. I am grateful to C. N. Leung for a careful reading of the manuscript. This work was done in collaboration with C. N. Leung, and was supported in part by the National Science Council of Taiwan.

References

1. D. G. Caldi and A. Chodos, Phys. Rev. D **36**, 2876 (1987); Y. J. Ng and Y. Kikuchi, *ibid.*, 2880 (1987); and references therein.
2. V. P. Gusynin, V. A. Miransky, and I. A. Shovkovy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 3499 (1994); Phys. Lett. B **349**, 477 (1995).
3. V. P. Gusynin, V. A. Miransky, and I. A. Shovkovy, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 4747 (1995); Nucl. Phys. **B462**, 249 (1996); C. N. Leung, Y. J. Ng, and A. W. Ackley, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 4181 (1996); D.-S. Lee, C. N. Leung, and Y. J. Ng, *ibid.* **55**, 6504 (1997).
4. V. P. Gusynin, V. A. Miransky, and I. A. Shovkovy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 1291 (1999); Nucl. Phys. **B563**, 361 (1999); Phys. Rev. D **67**, 107703 (2003).
5. A. V. Kuznetsov and N. V. Mikheev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 011601 (2002).
6. V. P. Gusynin, V. A. Miransky, and I. A. Shovkovy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 089101 (2003); A. V. Kuznetsov and N. V. Mikheev, *ibid.*, 089102 (2003).
7. C. N. Leung and S.-Y. Wang, Nucl. Phys. **B747**, 266 (2006); Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **322**, 701 (2007).
8. E. J. Ferrer and V. de la Incera, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 065008 (1998).
9. R. Kobes, G. Kunstatter, and A. Rebhan, Nucl. Phys. **B355**, 1 (1991).